

AGAINST THEIR WILL:

SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN SOUTH CAROLINA 1991 – 2003

*This little girl typifies sexual assault victims in SC. She probably was assaulted at home by someone she knew or even by someone in her own family. She probably was older than 9, but less than 15. The assault was
AGAINST HER WILL.*



**SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS**

JUNE 2005

***Against Their Will:
Sexual Violence
In South Carolina
1991 - 2003***

Prepared by:

South Carolina Department of Public Safety

Office of Justice Programs

Statistical Analysis Center

Rob McManus

This publication was partially funded with \$2,000 from Federal Grant Number 2003-BJ-CX-K102 from the United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics. Points of view or opinions stated are those of the principal researcher and do not necessarily represent the opinion or official position of the United States Department of Justice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The active assistance and collaboration of others was vital to the completion of this report. Lt. Gerald Hamby of the State Law Enforcement Division (SLED) provided important guidance and insight into the use of SLED's incident based crime data. Erica Stabb-Westmoreland of the South Carolina Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault, and Jane Key of the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) provided access to crisis center sexual assault victim data, a data source that has not been widely used, yet provides an important counter perspective to information gleaned from incident reports. Diana Tester and other staff from the South Carolina Budget and Control Board Office of Research and Statistics provided the detailed population estimates necessary to determine victimization rates over time and for specific population groups of interest. Expert advice and consultation for the cover and associated artwork were provided by Jessica Coppin and Virginia Funk-Currie.

Finally, the opportunity should be taken to express deep appreciation for those in law enforcement, victim services, corrections, community supervision and other walks of life who daily toil at the tasks of protecting us from, and repairing the ravages of, sexual violence.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

Counting Sexual Violence	3
Sexual Violence	8
Rape	60
Forcible Sodomy	84
Object Assault	108
Forcible Fondling	132
Sexual Violence Against Children.....	156
Invasive Sexual Violence.....	176
Forcible Fondling	192
Summary	208
Sources	212

INTRODUCTION

Against Their Will: Sexual Violence in South Carolina 1991 - 2003 is intended to provide basic information about one of the most serious forms of criminal behavior, sexual violence. This publication seeks to provide statistical information about the nature and extent of sexual violence, including the victims, offenders and circumstances surrounding the events.

This publication would not have been possible without the assistance and active cooperation of SLED. Copies of this report or information regarding this publication can be obtained by writing, calling or sending electronic mail requests to the following:

**South Carolina Department of Public Safety
Office of Justice Programs
Statistical Analysis Center
PO Box 1993
Blythewood, South Carolina 29016
(803) 896-8717
robertmcmanus@scdps.net**

Alternatively, this report can be accessed at:
<http://www.scdps.org/ojp/statistics.asp>

Counting Sexual Violence

These notes are intended to provide a brief overview of how information about crimes of sexual violence is collected and how it is compiled for the purpose of this report. They are not intended to be a comprehensive description of crime reporting methods, but instead seek to give the reader a working knowledge of the uses and limitations of crime data and provide an understanding of how this information can be used to describe the nature and extent of sexual violence in South Carolina.

Most of the information in this report starts with the statewide uniform incident report. The statewide uniform incident report is filled out whenever a criminal event is reported to law enforcement. The responding officer fills out the incident report which contains detailed information about the incident, the victim and the offender. This information is then entered into the South Carolina Incident Based Reporting System (SCIBRS), which is maintained by SLED. SCIBRS data for the years 1991 through 2003 provide the primary source of data for this report.

Information collected at sexual violence crisis centers during 2003 is also utilized in this report. These data are forwarded to and maintained by DHEC. These data provide a different perspective on sexual violence, and provide an important basis of comparison to incident reports. However, it is important to note that these data are collected and reported differently than SCIBRS data, therefore any such comparisons should be treated as estimates.

Methodological Notes

Sexual Violence: For this report, sexual violence is defined as: rape, forcible sodomy, sexual assault with an object (object assault), and forcible fondling.

Rape: Rape is defined as the carnal knowledge of a person, forcibly and/or against the person's will; or not forcibly where the victim is incapable of giving consent. This does not include statutory rape.

Forcible Sodomy: Forcible sodomy is defined as oral or anal sexual intercourse with another person, forcibly and/or against that person's will; or not forcibly where the victim is incapable of consent.

Sexual Assault with an Object: Object assault is defined as the use of an object or instrument to penetrate the genital or anal opening of the body of another person, forcibly and/or against that person's will, or not forcibly where the victim is incapable of consent.

Forcible Fondling: Forcible fondling is defined as touching the private body parts of a person for the purpose of sexual gratification, forcibly and/or against the person's will, or not forcibly where the victim is incapable of consent.

Invasive Sexual Violence: This category of sexual offense includes rape, forcible sodomy and object assault. This categorization is intended to group those sexual offenses that involve some level of victim penetration.

Most Serious Violent Sexual Offense: In this report, sometimes information is presented on the basis of the most serious violent sexual offense. The four violent sexual offenses are ranked from most to least serious as follows: rape, forcible sodomy, object assault and forcible fondling.

Rates: Rates are calculated by dividing the number of crimes, victims or offenders by the population or sub-population of interest and multiplying the result by 10,000. This allows for meaningful comparisons over time, and among jurisdictions or special populations of interest.

The method of calculating rates is demonstrated by the following equation:

$$\text{Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Victims, Offenses or Offenders}}{\text{Population or Sub-population}} \times 10,000$$

All rates are expressed as the rate per 10,000.

Victim to offender relationships: The easiest way to understand this is to substitute the phrase “the victim was” followed by the relationship. SCIBRS has 27 victim to offender relationship categories, which have been collapsed into several categories for this report. Since multiple victim to offender relationships occur when there is more than one victim or offender, SCIBRS collects information concerning up to ten such relationships per victim. Because of situations involving more than one victim or offender, the number of victim to offender relationships can exceed the number of victims. Relationships listed as unknown or where the victim was also an offender are excluded.

Data sources: South Carolina crime data were taken from SCIBRS, which was made available by SLED. SCIBRS data collection was started in 1991 as part of a national program of crime reporting. Population estimates used to calculate victimization rates were provided by the South Carolina Budget and Control Board's Office of Research and Statistics (ORS). DHEC provided sexual assault crisis center data for 2003.

Data limitations/caveats: To understand crime incident data, it is important to understand what is being counted at any given time, i.e., the unit of count. This report uses the following units of count: victim, offense and offender. The unit of count, victim refers to the number of people who were victims of one of the four crimes of sexual violence. The unit of count offense represents occurrences of violent sex crimes. The unit of count offender represents the individuals reported to have committed sexual violence.

It is also important to note that some information is reported by looking at multiple fields within a record. As an example, SCIBRS allows for information to be recorded concerning up to ten victim to offender relationships per victim and up to three weapons per offense. These and similar situations, in combination with missing data, often result in totals that vary from the basic unit of count. Footnotes are used throughout the report to provide more detailed explanations for charts, graphs and narrative.

Age data were often entered into SCIBRS as a range. In order to include this information, the front end or younger portion of the age range was used. For example 10 – 12 would be counted as 10 years of age.

SCIBRS data for 1995 were incomplete, making the use of data from that year in trend analysis questionable. Consequently, 1995 SCIBRS data were omitted from trend data unless that information was available from another source. Since specific jurisdiction was a factor in the completeness of 1995 data, data from that year were also excluded from the computation and comparison of county sexual violence rates.

The original intent was to also include incident based data from 1977 through 1990 in this report. Although SCIBRS only dates back to 1991, SLED has collected incident based data since the 1970's. SLED has converted these data into a SCIBRS compatible format so that those data could be used in trend analyses in conjunction with SCIBRS. Unfortunately, the operational definitions of sex offenses changed significantly with the adoption of SCIBRS in 1991 and any use of the pre-SCIBRS incident data with SCIBRS data would have been misleading. Consequently, these data were not included in the report.

South Carolina's violent sex crime victimization rate reached the highest point in 1994 and the lowest point in 2001. From 1991 to 2003 the sexual violence victimization rate increased 1.9%.


SEXUAL VIOLENCE TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	3,710	10.4
1992	4,174	11.5
1993	4,264	11.6
1994	4,369	11.8
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	4,200	11.1
1997	4,246	11.0
1998	3,936	10.0
1999	4,110	10.3
2000	3,983	9.9
2001	3,970	9.8
2002	4,170	10.2
2003	4,390	10.6

Notes: Unit of count – victim. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Sexual Violence Victimization Rate



Rape was the most frequent crime of sexual violence, accounting for 45.5% of violent sex crimes from 1991 through 2003.


**CRIMES OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Percent
Rape	24,996	45.5%
Forcible sodomy	6,548	11.9%
Object assault	2,952	5.4%
Forcible fondling	20,384	37.1%
Total	54,880	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Up to five offenses per victim are included. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Crimes of Sexual Violence
1991 - 2003**




Kidnapping was the offense most frequently committed with crimes of sexual violence. There were 56 murders committed as part of a crime of sexual violence.

**OTHER CRIMES ASSOCIATED WITH SEXUAL VIOLENCE
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Percent
Aggravated assault	244	4.8%
Burglary	1,411	27.9%
Kidnapping	1,929	38.2%
Larceny	229	4.5%
Murder	56	1.1%
Non-forcible sex	201	4.0%
Other	327	6.5%
Robbery	652	12.9%
Total	5,049	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count - victim. Up to five offenses per victim are included. Non-forcible sex offenses include peeping tom, sexual exposure, prostitution and other sexually oriented offenses which do not include the element of violence or the threat of violence. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Other Crimes Associated with Sexual Violence
1991 - 2003**



Estimates of how often victims report violent sex crimes to law enforcement range from 38.5% to 63.8%.


**SEXUAL VIOLENCE REPORTING
2003**

Estimation Method	Sex Crimes Reported
Comparing SCIBRS data to direct service client reports	57.6%
Direct service client reports	63.8%
Victimization survey	38.5%

Notes: Direct service client report refers to the percent of clients who said they reported the assault to the police. Comparison of SCIBRS data to direct service client reports compares all violent sex crime victims reported to SCIBRS to all direct service client reports from DHEC. The victimization survey is a national survey of respondents 12 years of age and older.

Sources: DHEC, *Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*; SLED, SCIBRS; BJS, *Criminal Victimization, 2003*.

Sexual Violence Reporting Estimates 2003



County sexual victimization rates ranged from 5.6 to 14.3 per 10,000.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE BY COUNTY
1991 - 2003**

County	Rate	County	Rate
Abbeville	9.5	Greenwood	12.4
Aiken	14.1	Hampton	6.2
Allendale	6.3	Horry	13.5
Anderson	11.3	Jasper	13.4
Bamberg	7.8	Kershaw	8.8
Barnwell	11.7	Lancaster	13.5
Beaufort	11.4	Laurens	12.2
Berkeley	14.0	Lee	8.5
Calhoun	6.3	Lexington	9.2
Charleston	13.2	McCormick	9.8
Cherokee	8.8	Marion	7.4
Chester	9.8	Marlboro	10.3
Chesterfield	7.4	Newberry	7.2
Clarendon	6.0	Oconee	7.3
Colleton	12.4	Orangeburg	11.7
Darlington	11.3	Pickens	9.1
Dillon	12.6	Richland	11.7
Dorchester	12.5	Saluda	5.6
Edgefield	9.4	Spartanburg	12.3
Fairfield	11.6	Sumter	11.7
Florence	12.0	Union	8.9
Georgetown	11.0	Williamsburg	6.6
Greenville	13.0	York	14.3

Notes: Unit of count – victim. 1995 sexual violence victims and population estimates are not included in calculating county victimization rates.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

York County had the highest sexual victimization rate with 14.3 per 10,000.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE TOP TEN COUNTIES
1991 - 2003**

County	Number of Victims	Rate
York	2,430	14.3
Aiken	2,144	14.1
Berkeley	2,142	14.0
Horry	2,662	13.5
Lancaster	882	13.5
Jasper	281	13.4
Charleston	4,483	13.2
Greenville	5,188	13.0
Dillon	423	12.6
Dorchester	1,270	12.5

Notes: Unit of count – victim. 1995 sexual violence victims and population estimates were not included in calculating county victimization rates.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.


Private residences such as houses or apartments were the location for 71.4% of sexual violence.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE BY LOCATION
1991 - 2003**

Location	Number	Percent
College	176	0.3%
Field/Woods	1,717	3.3%
Highway, road, etc.	3,766	7.3%
Hotel/Motel	1,529	3.0%
Office building	995	1.9%
Other	3,714	7.2%
Parking lot	729	1.4%
Private residence	36,698	71.4%
School K-12	2,088	4.1%
Total	51,412	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Offenses where either the primary or secondary location is listed as parking lot is counted as parking lot. The location college includes dormitories. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Sexual Violence by Location 1991 - 2003




Crimes of sexual violence occurred most often in the hour from midnight to 1:00 AM.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE BY TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	2,230	4.7%
2 - 2:59 AM	1,969	4.1%
3 - 3:59 AM	1,774	3.7%
4 - 4:59 AM	1,264	2.6%
5 - 5:59 AM	895	1.9%
6 - 6:59 AM	907	1.9%
7 - 7:59 AM	965	2.0%
8 - 8:59 AM	2,762	5.8%
9 - 9:59 AM	1,320	2.8%
10 - 10:59 AM	1,345	2.8%
11 - 11:59 AM	1,313	2.7%
Noon - 12:59 PM	2,552	5.3%
1 - 1:59 PM	1,598	3.3%
2 - 2:59 PM	1,850	3.9%
3 - 3:59 PM	2,367	4.9%
4 - 4:59 PM	2,132	4.5%
5 - 5:59 PM	1,898	4.0%
6 - 6:59 PM	2,332	4.9%
7 - 7:59 PM	1,902	4.0%
8 - 8:59 PM	2,317	4.8%
9 - 9:59 PM	2,314	4.8%
10 - 10:59 PM	2,386	5.0%
11 - 11:59 PM	2,659	5.6%
Mid - 12:59 AM	4,821	10.1%
Total	47,872	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Excludes victims with missing time of day data
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Sexual Violence by Time of Day 1991 - 2003



More violent sex crimes were reported Saturday than any other day of the week.


**SEXUAL VIOLENCE BY DAY
1991 - 2003**

Day of the Week	Number	Percent
Sunday	6,914	13.0%
Monday	7,100	13.4%
Tuesday	7,170	13.5%
Wednesday	7,105	13.4%
Thursday	7,036	13.3%
Friday	8,542	16.1%
Saturday	9,222	17.4%
Total	53,089	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence by Day of the Week
1991 - 2003**



More victimization was reported in July than any other month. December was the month with the fewest reported victimizations.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE BY MONTH
1991 - 2003**

Month	Number	Percent
January	4,351	8.2%
February	3,846	7.2%
March	4,451	8.4%
April	4,567	8.6%
May	4,923	9.3%
June	4,906	9.2%
July	5,103	9.6%
August	4,966	9.4%
September	4,502	8.5%
October	4,194	7.9%
November	3,714	7.0%
December	3,566	6.7%
Total	53,089	100.0%

Note: Unit of count – victim.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Violent Sexual Victimization by Month 1991 - 2003



Alcohol use by the offender was reported in 12.9% of violent sex offenses. Substance use was reported more frequently for offenders than victims.


**SUBSTANCE USE IN SEXUAL VIOLENCE
1991 - 2003**

Substance	Victim	Offender
Alcohol & drugs	0.7%	1.4%
Alcohol only	7.1%	11.5%
Drugs only	0.6%	0.7%
No substance use	91.7%	86.4%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Substance Use in Sexual Violence 1991 - 2003




Some form of substance use by the victim or offender was reported by 14.5% of crisis center direct clients.

**CRISIS CENTER DIRECT CLIENTS
SUBSTANCE USE IN SEXUAL ASSAULTS
2003**

Substance	Number	Percent
Date rape drugs	247	3.2%
None reported	6,510	85.5%
Other drugs/alcohol	860	11.3%
Total	7,617	100.0%

Source: DHEC, *SC Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*.

**Crisis Center Direct Clients
Substance Use in Sexual Assaults
2003**




Use of personal weapons such as hands, feet, fists, etc., accounted for 92.5% of weapon use in crimes of sexual violence.

**WEAPON USE IN SEXUAL VIOLENCE
1991 - 2003**

Weapon	Number	Percent
Blunt objects	457	0.9%
Firearms	1,064	2.1%
Hands, feet, etc.	46,330	92.5%
Knives	1,491	3.0%
Other	719	1.4%
Total	50,061	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count - offense. Up to three weapons are counted per offense. Missing or unknown weapons are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Weapon Use in Sexual Violence 1991 - 2003



The most frequently reported victim to offender relationship was one where the victim knew, but had no family, marital or romantic relationship with the offender.


**VICTIM TO OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS IN
SEXUAL VIOLENCE
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	179	0.3%
Family	12,203	23.8%
Known	27,729	54.1%
Marital	1,283	2.5%
Romantic	1,884	3.7%
Stranger	8,012	15.6%
Total	51,290	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Victim Offender Relationships in Sexual Violence
1991 - 2003**



The most frequently reported victim to offender relationship by direct clients of crisis centers was that of a relative or family member.


**CRISIS CENTER DIRECT CLIENTS
VICTIM TO OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS
2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	144	2.2%
Family	2,602	39.9%
Known	2,370	36.3%
Marital	379	5.8%
Romantic	495	7.6%
Stranger	539	8.3%
Total	6,529	100.0%

Note: Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: DHEC, *SC Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*.

**Crisis Center Direct Clients
Victim/Offender Relationships
2003**



The highest violent sexual victimization rate was among 10 to 14 year olds. Victimization rates were lower among adults than among children.


**SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY AGE
1991 - 2003**

Age group	Number	Rate
0 - 4	4,426	12.8
5 - 9	8,093	22.9
10 - 14	13,723	38.0
15 - 17	7,545	34.4
18 - 24	7,539	14.4
25 - 34	6,516	8.7
35 - 44	3,616	4.7
45 - 54	1,022	1.6
55 - 64	280	0.6
65 & older	329	0.5
Total	53,089	10.6

Notes: Unit of count– victim.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Violent Sexual Victimization Rate by Age 1991 - 2003



The highest sexual assault victimization rate was among crisis center clients from 12 to 17 years old. Victimization rates were higher among children than among adults.


**CRISIS CENTER DIRECT CLIENTS BY AGE
2003**

Age group	Number	Rate
11 & younger	1,720	25.9
12 - 17	1,755	49.2
18 - 64	3,286	12.6
65 & older	56	1.1
Total	6,817	18.4

Notes: Direct clients for whom age was missing or unknown are excluded. Total rate is based on all direct clients.

Sources: DHEC, *SC Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*; ORS, population estimates.

**Crisis Center Direct Client Rate by Age
2003**




White victims accounted for 60.8% of total victims, Black victims accounted for 38.8%.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	114	0.2%
Black	20,583	38.8%
Native American	59	0.1%
White	32,226	60.8%
Total	52,982	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Victims with missing data or of unknown race are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence Victims by Race
1991 - 2003**



Sexual violence victims were most often White. White victims accounted for 55.8% of total direct clients, Black victims accounted for 39.9%.


**CRISIS CENTER DIRECT CLIENTS BY RACE
2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	58	0.8%
Black	2,855	39.9%
Hispanic	171	2.4%
Native American	15	0.2%
Other	58	0.8%
White	3,991	55.8%
Total	7,148	100.0%

Notes: This table includes Hispanic as a racial category, in contrast to SCIBRS which does not. SCIBRS has a separate category of ethnicity which consists of Hispanic, Non-Hispanic or unknown. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: DHEC, SC *Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*.

**Crisis Center Direct Clients by Race
2003**



The sexual victimization rate of the Non-White population was 40% higher than that of the White population.


**SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY RACIAL
CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	20,756	13.0
White	32,226	9.3
Total	52,982	10.6

Notes: Unit of count – victim. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races are not readily available. Victims with missing or unknown race are excluded. The total rate is based on total number of victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Violent Sexual Victimization by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**



Hispanics accounted for less than 1% of sexual violence victims. Non-Hispanics accounted for 93.2% and 6.2% were of unknown ethnicity.


**SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY ETHNICITY
1991 - 2003**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Hispanic	355	0.7%
Non-Hispanic	49,459	93.2%
Unknown	3,275	6.2%
Total	53,089	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. SCIBRS allows for the following ethnicity categories: Hispanic, Non-Hispanic and unknown. It is important to note that SCIBRS records race and ethnicity as separate categories. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence Victims by Ethnicity
1991 - 2003**




The sexual violence victimization rate for females was 6.6 times higher than the victimization rate for males.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	46,589	18.0
Male	6,488	2.7
Total	53,077	10.6

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Excludes victims for whom sex was unknown or missing. Total rate is based on all victims.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Sexual Violence Victimization Rate by Sex
1991 - 2003**




Among crisis center direct clients, the victimization rate for females was 5.3 times the rate for males.

**CRISIS CENTER DIRECT CLIENTS BY SEX
2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	6,465	30.4
Male	1,146	5.7
Total	7,611	18.4

Notes: Direct clients for whom sex data are missing or unknown are excluded.
Total rate is based on all direct clients.
Sources: *DHEC, SC Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*;
ORS, population estimates.

**Crisis Center Direct Client Rate by Sex
2003**



Adults from 17 through 34 years of age accounted for more than 50% of violent sex offenders.


**VIOLENT SEX OFFENDERS BY AGE
1991 - 2003**

Age	Number	Percent
13 & younger	5,311	9.5%
14 - 16	6,027	10.8%
17 - 24	14,437	25.9%
25 - 34	14,165	25.5%
35 - 44	9,465	17.0%
45 - 54	3,916	7.0%
55 - 64	1,567	2.8%
65 & older	747	1.3%
Total	55,635	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offender. Offenders for whom age is missing or unknown are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Violent Sex Offenders by Age
1991 - 2003**



Among violent sex offenders, 50.8% were Black and 48.8% were White.


**VIOLENT SEX OFFENDERS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	144	0.2%
Black	29,326	50.8%
Native American	100	0.2%
White	28,141	48.8%
Total	57,711	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– offender. Offenders for whom race is missing or unknown are excluded.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Violent Sex Offenders by Race
1991 - 2003**




Non-Hispanic offenders accounted for 88.5% of violent sex offenders, 9.6% were of unknown ethnicity.

**VIOLENT SEX OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY
1991 - 2003**

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
Hispanic	1,124	1.9%
Non-Hispanic	51,936	88.5%
Unknown	5,635	9.6%
Total	58,695	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offender. Excludes offenders for whom ethnicity is missing.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Violent Sex Offenders by Ethnicity
1991 - 2003**



Violent sex offenders were more often male than female.


**VIOLENT SEX OFFENDERS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Percent
Female	2,033	3.5%
Male	56,418	96.5%
Total	58,451	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offender. Excludes offenders for whom sex is unknown or missing.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Violent Sex Offenders by Sex
1991 - 2003**



The rape victimization rate decreased 20% from 1991 to 2003.


RAPE TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	2,128	6.0
1992	2,255	6.2
1993	2,000	5.5
1994	2,089	5.6
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	1,899	5.0
1997	1,913	5.0
1998	1,803	4.6
1999	1,722	4.3
2000	1,691	4.2
2001	1,818	4.5
2002	2,078	5.1
2003	1,992	4.8

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious sex offense. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore excluded from trend analysis.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Rape Victimization Rate



Kidnapping was the offense most often committed with rape.


**OTHER CRIMES ASSOCIATED WITH RAPE
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Percent
Aggravated assault	93	1.8%
Burglary	1,094	21.7%
Forcible fondling	120	2.4%
Forcible sodomy	924	18.4%
Kidnapping	1,554	30.9%
Larceny	161	3.2%
Murder	46	0.9%
Non-forcible sex	27	0.5%
Other	197	3.9%
Object assault	324	6.4%
Robbery	491	9.8%
Total	5,031	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count - victim. Up to five offenses per victim are included. Non-forcible sex offenses include peeping tom, sexual exposure, prostitution and other sexually oriented offenses which do not include the element of violence or the threat of violence. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Other Crimes Associated with Rape
1991 - 2003**



Rapes occurred most frequently in private residences such as homes or apartments.


**RAPE BY LOCATION
1991 - 2003**

Location	Number	Percent
College	96	0.4%
Field/Woods	1,189	4.9%
Highway/Roads	2,306	9.4%
Hotel/Motel	1,089	4.5%
Other	1,938	7.9%
Parking lot	467	1.9%
Private residence	16,980	69.5%
School K-12	378	1.5%
Total	24,443	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. The location parking lot includes any case listing either primary or secondary location of parking lot. The location college includes dormitories.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Rape by Location 1991 - 2003




Rape occurred most frequently in the hour from 11:00 PM to midnight.

**RAPE BY TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	1,560	6.9%
2 - 2:59 AM	1,403	6.2%
3 - 3:59 AM	1,276	5.6%
4 - 4:59 AM	901	4.0%
5 - 5:59 AM	621	2.7%
6 - 6:59 AM	496	2.2%
7 - 7:59 AM	456	2.0%
8 - 8:59 AM	836	3.7%
9 - 9:59 AM	508	2.2%
10 - 10:59 AM	527	2.3%
11 - 11:59 AM	519	2.3%
Noon - 12:59 PM	955	4.2%
1 - 1:59 PM	603	2.7%
2 - 2:59 PM	657	2.9%
3 - 3:59 PM	830	3.7%
4 - 4:59 PM	840	3.7%
5 - 5:59 PM	759	3.4%
6 - 6:59 PM	972	4.3%
7 - 7:59 PM	814	3.6%
8 - 8:59 PM	1,124	5.0%
9 - 9:59 PM	1,238	5.5%
10 - 10:59 PM	1,408	6.2%
11 - 11:59 PM	1,711	7.6%
Mid - 12:59 AM	1,616	7.1%
Total	22,630	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense. Excludes victims with missing time of day data
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

Rape by Time of Day 1991 - 2003




More rapes were reported on Saturday than any other day of the week.

**RAPE BY DAY
1991 - 2003**

Day of the Week	Number	Percent
Sunday	3,570	14.3%
Monday	3,056	12.2%
Tuesday	3,197	12.8%
Wednesday	3,168	12.7%
Thursday	3,303	13.2%
Friday	3,905	15.6%
Saturday	4,797	19.2%
Total	24,996	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Rape by Day of the Week
1991 - 2003**




Alcohol use by the offender was reported in 17.4% of rapes.

**SUBSTANCE USE IN RAPE
1991 - 2003**

Substance	Victim	Offender
Alcohol & drugs	1.1%	2.1%
Alcohol only	11.8%	15.3%
Drugs only	0.9%	1.1%
No substance use	86.2%	81.5%

Note: Unit of count – offense.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Substance Use in Rape 1991 - 2003



Personal weapons such as hands, feet or fists made up 89.2% of weapons used in rape.


**WEAPON USE IN RAPE
1991 - 2003**

Weapon	Number	Percent
Blunt object	209	0.9%
Firearms	843	3.5%
Hands, feet, etc.	21,758	89.2%
Knives	1,218	5.0%
Other	358	1.5%
Total	24,386	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Up to three weapons are reported per offense. Missing or unknown weapons are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Weapon Use in Rape 1991 - 2003



The most frequently reported victim to offender relationship was one where the victim knew but had no family, marital or romantic relationship with the offender.


**RAPE VICTIM TO OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	149	0.6%
Family	3,526	14.7%
Known	12,685	52.8%
Marital	1,051	4.4%
Romantic	1,539	6.4%
Stranger	5,068	21.1%
Total	24,018	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Rape Victim to Offender Relationships 1991 - 2003



The highest rape victimization rate was among 15 to 17 year olds.


**RAPE VICTIMS BY AGE
1991 - 2003**

Age Group	Number	Rate
0 - 4	671	1.9
5 - 9	1,355	3.8
10 - 14	4,886	13.5
15 - 17	4,131	18.8
18 - 24	5,269	10.1
25 - 34	4,832	6.5
35 - 44	2,708	3.5
45 - 54	728	1.1
55 - 64	187	0.4
65 & older	229	0.4
Total	24,996	5.0

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Rape Victimization Rate by Age 1991 - 2003




White victims accounted for 53.7% of all rape victims.

**RAPE VICTIMS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	62	0.2%
Black	11,450	45.9%
Native American	37	0.1%
White	13,411	53.7%
Total	24,960	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding. Victims with missing or unknown race were excluded.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Rape Victims by Race 1991 - 2003



The rape victimization rate for the Non-White population was 87.2% higher than the victimization rate for the White population.


**RAPE VICTIMS BY RACIAL CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	11,549	7.3
White	13,411	3.9
Total	24,960	5.0

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races is not readily available. Victims with missing or unknown race are excluded. Total rate is based on all victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Rape Victimization Rate by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**



The rape rate for females was 9.6 per 10,000 compared to 0.1 per 10,000 for males.


**RAPE VICTIMS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	24,792	9.6
Male	204	0.1
Total	24,996	5.0

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom rape is the most serious violent sex offense.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Rape Victimization Rate by Sex
1991 - 2003**



The forcible sodomy rate increased 1.9% from 1991 to 2003.


FORCIBLE SODOMY TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	384	1.08
1992	407	1.12
1993	431	1.18
1994	416	1.12
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	469	1.24
1997	506	1.31
1998	427	1.09
1999	487	1.23
2000	459	1.14
2001	401	0.99
2002	402	0.98
2003	453	1.10

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offenses. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Forcible Sodomy Victimization Rate



The offense most often associated with forcible sodomy was object assault.


**OTHER CRIMES ASSOCIATED WITH
FORCIBLE SODOMY
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Percent
Aggravated assault	21	3.1%
Burglary	47	7.0%
Forcible fondling	110	16.4%
Kidnapping	159	23.7%
Murder	7	1.0%
Non-forcible sex	5	0.7%
Object assault	201	29.9%
Other	50	7.4%
Robbery	72	10.7%
Total	672	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count - victim. Up to five offenses per victim were included. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense. Non-forcible sex offenses include peeping tom, sexual exposure, prostitution and other sexually oriented offenses which do not include the element of violence or the threat of violence. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Other Crimes Associated with Forcible Sodomy
1991 - 2003**




Forcible sodomy occurred most frequently at homes or other private residences.

**FORCIBLE SODOMY BY LOCATION
1991 - 2003**

Location	Number	Percent
College	9	0.2%
Field/Woods	230	3.9%
Highway/Road	354	5.9%
Hotel/Motel	108	1.8%
Other	421	7.1%
Parking lot	59	1.0%
Private residence	4,638	77.8%
School K-12	144	2.4%
Total	5,963	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. The location parking lot includes any case listing either primary or secondary location of parking lot. The location college includes dormitories. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Sodomy by Location
1991 - 2003**




Forcible sodomy occurred most frequently in the hour from midnight to 1:00 AM.

**FORCIBLE SODOMY BY TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	147	2.9%
2 - 2:59 AM	120	2.4%
3 - 3:59 AM	119	2.4%
4 - 4:59 AM	77	1.5%
5 - 5:59 AM	57	1.1%
6 - 6:59 AM	81	1.6%
7 - 7:59 AM	89	1.8%
8 - 8:59 AM	359	7.2%
9 - 9:59 AM	154	3.1%
10 - 10:59 AM	146	2.9%
11 - 11:59 AM	119	2.4%
Noon - 12:59 PM	318	6.4%
1 - 1:59 PM	154	3.1%
2 - 2:59 PM	229	4.6%
3 - 3:59 PM	263	5.3%
4 - 4:59 PM	256	5.1%
5 - 5:59 PM	232	4.6%
6 - 6:59 PM	281	5.6%
7 - 7:59 PM	230	4.6%
8 - 8:59 PM	221	4.4%
9 - 9:59 PM	219	4.4%
10 - 10:59 PM	202	4.0%
11 - 11:59 PM	210	4.2%
Mid - 12:59 AM	713	14.3%
Total	4,996	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims with missing time of day data
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Sodomy by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**



Forcible sodomy victimization occurred most frequently on Friday and Saturday.


**FORCIBLE SODOMY BY DAY
1991 - 2003**

Day of Week	Number	Percent
Sunday	862	12.6%
Monday	1,010	14.8%
Tuesday	929	13.6%
Wednesday	929	13.6%
Thursday	879	12.9%
Friday	1,114	16.3%
Saturday	1,114	16.3%
Total	6,837	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Sodomy by Day of Week
1991 - 2003**




Alcohol use by the offender was reported in 9.7% of forcible sodomy.

**SUBSTANCE USE IN FORCIBLE SODOMY
1991 - 2003**

Substance	Victim	Offender
Alcohol & drugs	0.6%	1.3%
Alcohol only	5.5%	8.4%
Drugs only	0.6%	0.9%
None	93.3%	89.4%

Note: Unit of count – offense.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Substance Use in Forcible Sodomy 1991 - 2003



Personal weapons such as hands, feet or fists made up 92.7% of weapons used in forcible sodomy.


**WEAPON USE IN FORCIBLE SODOMY
1991 - 2003**

Weapon	Number	Percent
Blunt object	56	0.9%
Firearms	134	2.2%
Knives	164	2.7%
Other weapons	80	1.3%
Personal weapons	5,544	92.7%
Total	5,978	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Up to three weapons are reported per offense. Missing or unknown weapons are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Weapon Use in Forcible Sodomy
1991 - 2003**



The most frequently reported victim to offender relationship was one where the victim knew but had no family, marital or romantic relationship with the offender.


**FORCIBLE SODOMY VICTIM TO OFFENDER
RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	6	0.1%
Family	1,991	32.4%
Known	3,329	54.1%
Marital	72	1.2%
Romantic	111	1.8%
Stranger	640	10.4%
Total	6,149	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Sodomy Victim to Offender Relationships
1991 - 2003**



The forcible sodomy victimization rates among children were higher than the rates among adults.


**FORCIBLE SODOMY VICTIMS BY AGE
1991 - 2003**

Age Group	Number	Rate
0 - 4	932	2.7
5 - 9	1,730	4.9
10 - 14	1,323	3.7
15 - 17	524	2.4
18 - 24	403	0.8
25 - 34	374	0.5
35 - 44	243	0.3
45 - 54	59	0.1
55 - 64	16	0.0
65 & older	20	0.0
Total	5,624	1.1

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Forcible Sodomy Victimization Rate by Age
1991 - 2003**



White victims comprised 64% of reported forcible sodomy victims.


**FORCIBLE SODOMY VICTIMS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	6	0.1%
Black	2,007	35.8%
Native American	3	0.1%
White	3,591	64.0%
Total	5,607	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims for whom race is missing or unknown.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Sodomy Victims by Race
1991 - 2003**



The forcible sodomy victimization rate for the Non-White population was 30% higher than the victimization rate for the White population.


**FORCIBLE SODOMY VICTIMS BY RACIAL
CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	2,016	1.3
White	3,591	1.0
Total	5,607	1.1

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races are not readily available. Excludes victims for whom race is missing or unknown. Total rate is based on total victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS population estimates.

**Forcible Sodomy Victimization Rate by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**



The forcible sodomy victimization rate for males was 30% higher than the victimization rate for females.


**FORCIBLE SODOMY VICTIMS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	2,468	1.0
Male	3,154	1.3
Total	5,622	1.1

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible sodomy is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims for whom sex is missing or unknown. Total rate is based on total victims. The similarity between the victimization rates for forcible sodomy victims by racial category and sex is coincidental.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Forcible Sodomy Victimization Rate by Sex
1991 - 2003**



The object assault rate increased 22.9% from 1991 to 2003.


OBJECT ASSAULT TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	126	0.35
1992	190	0.52
1993	204	0.56
1994	216	0.58
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	175	0.46
1997	198	0.51
1998	190	0.48
1999	194	0.49
2000	200	0.50
2001	189	0.47
2002	212	0.52
2003	177	0.43

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Object Assault Victimization Rate



The offense most often associated with object assault was forcible fondling.


**OTHER CRIMES ASSOCIATED WITH
OBJECT ASSAULT
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Percent
Aggravated assault	9	3.9%
Burglary	39	16.9%
Forcible fondling	112	48.5%
Kidnapping	30	13.0%
Murder	3	1.3%
Non-forcible sex	3	1.3%
Other	13	5.6%
Robbery	22	9.5%
Total	231	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count - victim. Up to five offenses per victim are included. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. Non-forcible sex offenses include peeping tom, sexual exposure, prostitution and other sexually oriented offenses which do not include the element of violence or the threat of violence.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Other Crimes Associated with Object Assault
1991 - 2003**



Object assault occurred most frequently at homes or other private residences.


**LOCATION OF OBJECT ASSAULT
1991 - 2003**

Location	Number	Percent
Field /Woods	45	1.6%
Highway/Road	89	3.2%
Hotel/Motel	50	1.8%
Other	196	7.0%
Parking lot	16	0.6%
Private residence	2,310	83.0%
School K-12	77	2.8%
Total	2,783	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. The location parking lot includes any case listing either primary or secondary location of parking lot. The location college includes dormitories.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Object Assault by Location
1991 - 2003**




Object assault occurred most frequently in the hour from midnight to 1:00 AM.

**OBJECT ASSAULT BY TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	63	2.9%
2 - 2:59 AM	57	2.6%
3 - 3:59 AM	59	2.7%
4 - 4:59 AM	39	1.8%
5 - 5:59 AM	31	1.4%
6 - 6:59 AM	46	2.1%
7 - 7:59 AM	51	2.3%
8 - 8:59 AM	173	7.9%
9 - 9:59 AM	73	3.3%
10 - 10:59 AM	56	2.6%
11 - 11:59 AM	71	3.3%
Noon - 12:59 PM	133	6.1%
1 - 1:59 PM	68	3.1%
2 - 2:59 PM	85	3.9%
3 - 3:59 PM	109	5.0%
4 - 4:59 PM	101	4.6%
5 - 5:59 PM	89	4.1%
6 - 6:59 PM	127	5.8%
7 - 7:59 PM	72	3.3%
8 - 8:59 PM	117	5.4%
9 - 9:59 PM	76	3.5%
10 - 10:59 PM	92	4.2%
11 - 11:59 PM	89	4.1%
Mid - 12:59 AM	307	14.1%
Total	2,184	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims with missing time of day data
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Object Assault by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**



Object assault victimization occurred most frequently on Friday.


**OBJECT ASSAULT BY DAY
1991 - 2003**

Day of Week	Number	Percent
Sunday	287	11.8%
Monday	353	14.5%
Tuesday	347	14.3%
Wednesday	307	12.6%
Thursday	303	12.5%
Friday	429	17.7%
Saturday	401	16.5%
Total	2,427	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Object Assault by Day of Week
1991 - 2003**



Alcohol use by the offender was reported in 9.5% of object assaults.


**SUBSTANCE USE IN OBJECT ASSAULT
1991 - 2003**

Substance	Victim	Offender
Alcohol & drugs	0.1%	0.6%
Alcohol only	3.6%	8.9%
Drugs only	0.2%	0.4%
No substance use	96.1%	90.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Substance Use in Object Assault 1991 - 2003



Personal weapons such as hands, feet or fists made up 87.3% of weapons used in object assault.


**WEAPON USE IN OBJECT ASSAULT
1991 - 2003**

Weapon	Number	Percent
Blunt object	156	5.7%
Firearms	29	1.1%
Knives	29	1.1%
Other	136	4.9%
Hands, feet, etc.	2,399	87.3%
Total	2,749	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Up to three weapons are reported per offense. Missing or unknown weapons are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Weapon Use in Object Assault
1991 - 2003**




The most frequently reported victim to offender relationship was one where the victim knew but was not related to or romantically involved with the offender.

**OBJECT ASSAULT VICTIM TO OFFENDER
RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	5	0.2%
Family	932	40.0%
Known	1,086	46.6%
Marital	59	2.5%
Romantic	65	2.8%
Stranger	181	7.8%
Total	2,328	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Object Assault Victim/Offender Relationships
1991 - 2003**



The object assault victimization rates among children were higher than the rates among adults.


**OBJECT ASSAULT VICTIMS BY AGE
1991 - 2003**

Age Group	Number	Rate
0 - 4	540	1.56
5 - 9	572	1.62
10 - 14	577	1.60
15 - 17	217	0.99
18 - 24	198	0.38
25 - 34	161	0.22
35 - 44	105	0.14
45 - 54	32	0.05
55 - 64	13	0.03
65 & older	12	0.02
Total	2,427	0.48

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Object Assault Victimization Rate by Age 1991 - 2003



White victims made up 68.6% of total object assault victims.


**OBJECT ASSAULT VICTIMS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	2	0.1%
Black	756	31.2%
Native American	1	<0.1%
White	1,661	68.6%
Total	2,420	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Object Assault Victims by Race
1991 - 2003**



The object assault victimization rate was the same for the Non-White and White populations.


**OBJECT ASSAULT VICTIMS BY RACIAL
CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	759	0.48
White	1,661	0.48
Total	2,420	0.48

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races are not readily available. Excludes victims for whom race is missing or unknown. Total rate is based on total victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Object Assault Victimization Rate by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**



The object assault rate against females was nine times the rate against males.


**OBJECT ASSAULT VICTIMS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	2,215	0.9
Male	212	0.1
Total	2,427	0.5

Notes: Unit of count– victim. Includes those victims against whom object assault is the most serious sex offense.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Object Assault Victimization Rate by Sex
1991 - 2003**



The forcible fondling rate increased 43.3% from 1991 to 2003.


FORCIBLE FONDLING TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	1,072	3.0
1992	1,322	3.7
1993	1,629	4.4
1994	1,648	4.4
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	1,657	4.4
1997	1,629	4.2
1998	1,516	3.9
1999	1,707	4.3
2000	1,633	4.1
2001	1,562	3.8
2002	1,478	3.6
2003	1,768	4.3

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate




The offense most often associated with forcible fondling was burglary.

**OTHER CRIMES ASSOCIATED WITH
FORCIBLE FONDLING
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Percent
Aggravated assault	121	12.8%
Burglary	231	24.5%
Kidnapping	186	19.7%
Larceny	42	4.5%
Non-forcible sex	166	17.6%
Other	24	2.5%
Robbery	67	7.1%
Simple assault & Intimidation	106	11.2%
Total	943	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count - victim. Up to five offenses per victim are included. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. Non-forcible sex offenses include peeping tom, sexual exposure, prostitution and other sexually oriented offenses which do not include the element of violence or the threat of violence. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Other Crimes Associated with Forcible Fondling
1991 - 2003**



Forcible fondling was most frequently reported to have occurred at homes or other private residences.


**LOCATION OF FORCIBLE FONDLING
1991 - 2003**

Location	Number	Percent
College	63	0.3%
Field /Woods	253	1.4%
Highway/Road	1,017	5.6%
Hotel/Motel	282	1.5%
Other	2,162	11.9%
Parking lot	187	1.0%
Private residence	12,770	70.1%
School K-12	1,489	8.2%
Total	18,223	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Offenses where either the primary or secondary location is listed as parking lot is counted as parking lot. The location college includes dormitories.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling by Location
1991 - 2003**



Forcible fondling occurred most frequently in the hour from midnight to 1:00 AM.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING BY TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	460	2.5%
2 - 2:59 AM	389	2.2%
3 - 3:59 AM	320	1.8%
4 - 4:59 AM	247	1.4%
5 - 5:59 AM	186	1.0%
6 - 6:59 AM	284	1.6%
7 - 7:59 AM	369	2.0%
8 - 8:59 AM	1,394	7.7%
9 - 9:59 AM	585	3.2%
10 - 10:59 AM	616	3.4%
11 - 11:59 AM	604	3.3%
Noon - 12:59 PM	1,146	6.3%
1 - 1:59 PM	773	4.3%
2 - 2:59 PM	879	4.9%
3 - 3:59 PM	1,165	6.5%
4 - 4:59 PM	935	5.2%
5 - 5:59 PM	818	4.5%
6 - 6:59 PM	952	5.3%
7 - 7:59 PM	786	4.4%
8 - 8:59 PM	855	4.7%
9 - 9:59 PM	781	4.3%
10 - 10:59 PM	684	3.8%
11 - 11:59 PM	649	3.6%
Mid - 12:59 AM	2,185	12.1%
Total	18,062	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims with missing time of day data

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**



Forcible fondling victimization occurred most frequently on Friday.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING BY DAY
1991 - 2003**

Day of Week	Number	Percent
Sunday	2,367	11.8%
Monday	2,863	14.3%
Tuesday	2,859	14.3%
Wednesday	2,862	14.3%
Thursday	2,699	13.5%
Friday	3,291	16.4%
Saturday	3,101	15.5%
Total	20,042	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling by Day of the Week
1991 - 2003**



Alcohol use by the offender was reported in 8.4% of forcible fondling.


**SUBSTANCE USE IN FORCIBLE FONDLING
1991 - 2003**

Substance	Victim	Offender
Alcohol & drugs	0.1%	0.6%
Alcohol only	1.8%	7.8%
Drugs only	0.2%	0.3%
No substance use	98.0%	91.3%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Substance Use in Forcible Fondling 1991 - 2003




Personal weapons such as hands, feet or fists made up 98.2% of weapons used in forcible fondling.

**WEAPON USE IN FORCIBLE FONDLING
1991 - 2003**

Weapon	Number	Percent
Blunt objects	36	0.2%
Firearms	58	0.3%
Hands, feet, etc.	17,306	98.2%
Knives	80	0.5%
Other	146	0.8%
Total	17,626	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – offense. Up to three weapons are reported per offense.
Missing or unknown weapons are excluded.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

Weapon Use in Forcible Fondling 1991 - 2003



The most frequently reported victim to offender relationship was one where the victim knew but was not related to or romantically involved with the offender.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIM TO OFFENDER
RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	19	0.1%
Family	5,877	30.2%
Known	11,039	56.7%
Marital	101	0.5%
Romantic	170	0.9%
Stranger	2,260	11.6%
Total	19,466	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling Victim/Offender Relationships
1991 - 2003**



The forcible fondling victimization rates among children were higher than the rates among adults.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIMS BY AGE
1991 - 2003**

Age Group	Number	Rate
0 - 4	2,283	6.6
5 - 9	4,436	12.5
10 - 14	6,937	19.2
15 - 17	3,713	16.9
18 - 24	1,669	3.2
25 - 34	1,149	1.5
35 - 44	560	0.7
45 - 54	203	0.3
55 - 64	64	0.1
65 & older	68	0.1
Total	20,042	4.0

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate by Age 1991 - 2003



White victims made up 67.8% of all forcible fondling victims.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIMS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	44	0.2%
Black	6,370	31.9%
Native American	18	0.1%
White	13,563	67.8%
Total	19,995	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims for whom race is unknown or missing.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling Victims by Race
1991 - 2003**



The forcible fondling victimization rate for the Non-White population was 2.6% higher than the rate for the White population.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIMS BY RACIAL
CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	6,432	4.0
White	13,563	3.9
Total	19,995	4.0

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races are not readily available. Excludes victims for whom race is missing or unknown. Total rate is based on total victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate
by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**



The forcible fondling victimization rate against females was 5.5 times the rate against males.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIMS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	17,114	6.6
Male	2,918	1.2
Total	20,032	4.0

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes those victims against whom forcible fondling is the most serious sex offense. Excludes victims for whom sex is missing or unknown. Total rate is based on total victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, unpublished population estimates.

**Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate by Sex
1991 - 2003**




The child violent sexual victimization rate increased 23.5% from 1991 to 2003.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN
TREND**

Year	Number	Rate
1991	2,130	22.6
1992	2,597	27.3
1993	2,686	28.0
1994	2,716	28.0
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	2,670	27.0
1997	2,713	27.1
1998	2,539	25.1
1999	2,672	26.3
2000	2,582	26.0
2001	2,641	26.6
2002	2,691	27.4
2003	2,856	27.9

Notes: Unit of count – victim. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis. Includes victims age 17 years and younger.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Sexual Violence Against Children Victimization Rate



Forcible fondling was the violent sex crime most often committed against children.


**VIOLENT SEX CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN
1991 - 2003**

Crime	Number	Rate
Forcible fondling	16,329	12.7
Forcible sodomy	4,509	3.5
Object assault	1,906	1.5
Rape	11,043	8.6
Total	33,787	26.4

Notes: unit of count – victim. Based on most serious sex offense. Includes victims age 17 years and younger.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Violent Sex Crimes Against Children
Victimization Rates
1991 - 2003**



Children were most often victimized between midnight and 1:00 AM.


**SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN BY
TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	855	2.8%
2 - 2:59 AM	569	1.9%
3 - 3:59 AM	466	1.5%
4 - 4:59 AM	332	1.1%
5 - 5:59 AM	242	0.8%
6 - 6:59 AM	434	1.4%
7 - 7:59 AM	597	2.0%
8 - 8:59 AM	2,284	7.6%
9 - 9:59 AM	876	2.9%
10 - 10:59 AM	886	2.9%
11 - 11:59 AM	883	2.9%
Noon - 12:59 PM	1,951	6.5%
1 - 1:59 PM	1,096	3.6%
2 - 2:59 PM	1,361	4.5%
3 - 3:59 PM	1,825	6.1%
4 - 4:59 PM	1,590	5.3%
5 - 5:59 PM	1,360	4.5%
6 - 6:59 PM	1,690	5.6%
7 - 7:59 PM	1,298	4.3%
8 - 8:59 PM	1,485	4.9%
9 - 9:59 PM	1,339	4.5%
10 - 10:59 PM	1,206	4.0%
11 - 11:59 PM	1,285	4.3%
Mid - 12:59 AM	4,157	13.8%
Total	30,067	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Excludes victims with missing time of day data

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Child Violent Sexual Victimization
by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**




Victimization patterns by time of day differed between children and adults.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE BY TIME OF DAY
CHILDREN VS. ADULTS
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Children	Adults
1 - 1:59 AM	2.8%	7.7%
2 - 2:59 AM	1.9%	7.9%
3 - 3:59 AM	1.5%	7.3%
4 - 4:59 AM	1.1%	5.2%
5 - 5:59 AM	0.8%	3.7%
6 - 6:59 AM	1.4%	2.7%
7 - 7:59 AM	2.0%	2.1%
8 - 8:59 AM	7.6%	2.7%
9 - 9:59 AM	2.9%	2.5%
10 - 10:59 AM	2.9%	2.6%
11 - 11:59 AM	2.9%	2.4%
Noon - 12:59 PM	6.5%	3.4%
1 - 1:59 PM	3.6%	2.8%
2 - 2:59 PM	4.5%	2.7%
3 - 3:59 PM	6.1%	3.0%
4 - 4:59 PM	5.3%	3.0%
5 - 5:59 PM	4.5%	3.0%
6 - 6:59 PM	5.6%	3.6%
7 - 7:59 PM	4.3%	3.4%
8 - 8:59 PM	4.9%	4.7%
9 - 9:59 PM	4.5%	5.5%
10 - 10:59 PM	4.0%	6.6%
11 - 11:59 PM	4.3%	7.7%
Mid - 12:59 AM	13.8%	3.7%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Children are victims 17 years of age and younger.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**




Children were most often victimized by someone in a situation where they knew but were not related to the offender.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN
VICTIM TO OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	3	<0.1%
Family	11,057	33.6%
Known	18,429	56.0%
Marital	42	0.1%
Romantic	571	1.7%
Stranger	2,803	8.5%
Total	32,905	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence Against Children
Victim/Offender Relationships
1991 - 2003**




Children who were victimized by someone they knew but were not related to, were most often victimized by a casual acquaintance.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN
VICTIM WAS KNOWN
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Acquaintance	17,119	79.9%
Employment	54	0.3%
Babysitree	125	0.6%
Child of boyfriend or girlfriend	415	1.9%
Friend	1,854	8.7%
Neighbor	744	3.5%
Otherwise known	1,111	5.2%
Total	21,422	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Includes all “Known” victim to offender relationships. The victim to offender relationship category employment includes both employers and employees. Babysitree refers to the child under care. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence Against Children
Victim Was Known
1991 - 2003**




Children who were sexually victimized by a family member were most often victimized by a parent.

**SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN
VICTIM WAS FAMILY
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Child	4,894	42.1%
Grandchild	814	7.0%
In-laws	161	1.4%
Other family	4,453	38.3%
Siblings	1,295	11.1%
Total	11,617	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Includes all family victim to offender relationships. Step-children, step-parents and step-siblings are included as children, parents and siblings respectively. The category other family member includes any family member who is not a parent or step-parent, a sibling or step-sibling, a child or step-child, a grandparent, a grandchild, or an in-law. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Sexual Violence Against Children
Victim Was Family
1991 - 2003**




White victims made up 62.4% of child victims of sexual violence.

**CHILD SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	52	0.2%
Black	12,576	37.3%
Native American	35	0.1%
White	21,035	62.4%
Total	33,698	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Victims for whom race is missing or unknown are excluded. Total rate is based on total victims.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Child Sexual Violence Victims by Race
1991 - 2003**




The child violent sexual victimization rate for the White population was 7.5% higher than the rate for the Non-White population.

**CHILD SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY
RACIAL CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	12,663	24.8
White	21,035	26.6
Total	33,698	26.4

Note: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. The racial category Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races are not readily available. Victims for whom race is missing or unknown are excluded. Total rate is based on total victims.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Child Sexual Victimization Rate by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**




The violent sexual victimization rate for girls was 5.1 times the rate for boys.

**CHILD SEXUAL VIOLENCE VICTIMS BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	27,988	44.6
Male	5,788	8.8
Total	33,776	26.4

Note: Unit of count– victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Child Sexual Violence Victims by Sex
1991 - 2003**




The rate of invasive sexual violence against children increased 5.3% from 1991 to 2003.

INVASIVE SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	1,246	13.2
1992	1,482	15.6
1993	1,345	14.0
1994	1,395	14.4
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	1,323	13.4
1997	1,416	14.1
1998	1,328	13.1
1999	1,300	12.8
2000	1,257	12.7
2001	1,356	13.7
2002	1,460	14.9
2003	1,423	13.9

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Invasive Sexual Violence Against Children Victimization Rate



Most invasive sexual violence against children occurred between midnight and 1:00 AM.


**INVASIVE SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN BY TIME OF DAY:
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59 AM	552	3.6%
2 - 2:59 AM	347	2.2%
3 - 3:59 AM	303	2.0%
4 - 4:59 AM	199	1.3%
5 - 5:59 AM	141	0.9%
6 - 6:59 AM	218	1.4%
7 - 7:59 AM	305	2.0%
8 - 8:59 AM	1,026	6.6%
9 - 9:59 AM	412	2.7%
10 - 10:59 AM	413	2.7%
11 - 11:59 AM	407	2.6%
Noon - 12:59 PM	984	6.3%
1 - 1:59 PM	494	3.2%
2 - 2:59 PM	644	4.2%
3 - 3:59 PM	840	5.4%
4 - 4:59 PM	828	5.3%
5 - 5:59 PM	699	4.5%
6 - 6:59 PM	881	5.7%
7 - 7:59 PM	669	4.3%
8 - 8:59 PM	787	5.1%
9 - 9:59 PM	730	4.7%
10 - 10:59 PM	704	4.5%
11 - 11:59 PM	817	5.3%
Mid - 12:59 AM	2,104	13.6%
Total	15,504	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Excludes victims with missing time of day data

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Invasive Violent Sexual Victimization Against Children
by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**



Child victims of sexual violence were most often victimized by someone they knew but were not related to.


**INVASIVE SEXUAL VIOLENCE
AGAINST CHILDREN
VICTIM TO OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	1	<0.1%
Family	5,528	32.5%
Known	9,409	55.4%
Marital	27	0.2%
Romantic	472	2.8%
Stranger	1,556	9.2%
Total	16,993	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Victims for whom the victim offender relationship is unknown or missing are excluded. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Invasive Sexual Violence Against Children
Victim/Offender Relationships
1991 - 2003**




Children who were victimized by someone they knew but were not related to were most often victimized by an acquaintance.

**INVASIVE SEXUAL VIOLENCE
AGAINST CHILDREN
VICTIM WAS KNOWN
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Acquaintance	8,990	79.9%
Babysitree	58	0.5%
Child of boyfriend or girlfriend	226	2.0%
Employment	11	0.1%
Friend	1,094	9.7%
Neighbor	342	3.0%
Otherwise known	529	4.7%
Total	11,250	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Includes all “Known” victim to offender relationships. The victim to offender relationship category employment includes both employers and employees. Babysitree refers to the child under care. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Invasive Sexual Violence Against Children
Victim Was Known
1991 - 2003**



Child victims of invasive sexual violence within the family were most often victimized by an extended family member.


**INVASIVE SEXUAL VIOLENCE
AGAINST CHILDREN
VICTIM WAS FAMILY
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Child	2,319	39.6%
Grandchild	292	5.0%
In-law	78	1.3%
Other family	2,392	40.8%
Sibling	777	13.3%
Total	5,858	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Includes all family victim to offender relationships. Step-children, step-parents and step-siblings are included as children, parents and siblings respectively. The category other family member includes any family member who is not a parent or step-parent, a sibling or step-sibling, a child or step-child, a grandparent, a grandchild, or an in-law.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Invasive Sexual Violence Against Children
Victim Was Family
1991 - 2003**




White victims made up 57.6% of child victims of invasive sexual violence.

**CHILD VICTIMS OF INVASIVE SEXUAL
VIOLENCE BY RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	24	0.1%
Black	7,341	42.2%
Native American	20	0.1%
White	10,025	57.6%
Total	17,410	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Excludes victims for whom race is missing or unknown.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Child Victims of Invasive Sexual Violence by Race
1991 - 2003**



The invasive violent sexual victimization rate for Non-White children was 13.4% higher than the rate for White children.


**CHILD VICTIMS OF INVASIVE SEXUAL
VIOLENCE BY RACIAL CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	7,385	14.4
White	10,025	12.7
Total	17,410	13.4

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races are not readily available. Victims for whom race is missing or unknown are excluded. Total rate is based on total victims.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Child Invasive Sexual Violence Victimization Rate by
Racial Category
1991 - 2003**




The invasive violent sexual victimization rate for girls was 4.8 times the rate for boys.

**CHILD VICTIMS OF INVASIVE SEXUAL
VIOLENCE BY SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	14,334	22.9
Male	3,122	4.8
Total	17,456	13.4

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Victims for whom sex is missing or unknown are excluded. Total rate is based on total victims.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Child Invasive Sexual Violence Victimization Rate
by Sex
1991 - 2003**



The forcible fondling victimization rate for children increased 48.9% from 1991 to 2003.


CHILD FORCIBLE FONDLING TREND

Year	Number	Rate
1991	884	9.4
1992	1,115	11.7
1993	1,341	14.0
1994	1,321	13.6
1995	Inc.	NA
1996	1,347	13.6
1997	1,297	12.9
1998	1,211	12.0
1999	1,372	13.5
2000	1,325	13.4
2001	1,285	12.9
2002	1,231	12.5
2003	1,433	14.0

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. 1995 data are incomplete and therefore are excluded from trend analysis.

Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

Child Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate




Forcible fondling against children occurred most often between midnight and 1:00 AM.

**FORCIBLE FONDLING OF CHILDREN
BY TIME OF DAY
1991 - 2003**

Time of Day	Number	Percent
1 - 1:59	303	2.1%
2 - 2:59	222	1.5%
3 - 3:59	163	1.1%
4 - 4:59	133	0.9%
5 - 5:59	101	0.7%
6 - 6:59	216	1.5%
7 - 7:59	292	2.0%
8 - 8:59	1,258	8.6%
9 - 9:59	464	3.2%
10 - 10:59	473	3.2%
11 - 11:59	476	3.3%
Noon - 12:59	967	6.6%
1 - 1:59	602	4.1%
2 - 2:59	717	4.9%
3 - 3:59	985	6.8%
4 - 4:59	762	5.2%
5 - 5:59	661	4.5%
6 - 6:59	809	5.6%
7 - 7:59	629	4.3%
8 - 8:59	698	4.8%
9 - 9:59	609	4.2%
10 - 10:59	502	3.4%
11 - 11:59	468	3.2%
Mid - 12:59	2,053	14.1%
Total	14,563	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Excludes victims with missing time of day data
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling of Children by Time of Day
1991 - 2003**




Child victims of forcible fondling were most often victimized by someone they knew but were not related to.

**FORCIBLE FONDLING OF CHILDREN
VICTIM TO OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Ex-spouse	2	<0.1%
Family	5,529	34.7%
Known	9,020	56.7%
Marital	15	0.1%
Romantic	99	0.6%
Stranger	1,247	7.8%
Total	15,912	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Up to 10 victim to offender relationships are reported per victim, victims may be included in more than one category but are only included once per category. Excludes relationships where the victim to offender relationship is unknown. Total percent does not add up to 100% due to rounding.
Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling of Children
Victim/Offender Relationship
1991 - 2003**



Children who were forcibly fondled by someone they knew, but were not related to, were most often victimized by an acquaintance.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING OF CHILDREN
VICTIM WAS KNOWN
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Acquaintance	8,129	79.9%
Babysitree	67	0.7%
Child of boy or girlfriend	186	1.8%
Employment	43	0.4%
Friend	760	7.5%
Neighbor	402	4.0%
Otherwise known	582	5.7%
Total	10,169	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Includes all “Known” victim to offender relationships. The victim to offender relationship category employment includes both employers and employees. Babysitree refers to the child under care.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling of Children
Victim was Known
1991 - 2003**



Children who were forcibly fondled by a family member were most often victimized by a parent.


**FORCIBLE FONDLING OF CHILDREN
VICTIM WAS FAMILY
1991 - 2003**

Victim was:	Number	Percent
Child	2,575	44.8%
Grandchild	522	9.1%
In-law	83	1.4%
Other family	2,056	35.7%
Sibling	518	9.0%
Total	5,754	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Includes all family victim to offender relationships. Step-children, step-parents and step-siblings are included as children, parents and siblings respectively. The category other family member includes any family member who is not a parent or step-parent, a sibling or step-sibling, a child or step-child, a grandparent, a grandchild, or an in-law.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Forcible Fondling of Children
Victim Was Family
1991 - 2003**



White victims made up 67.6% of child forcible fondling victims.


**CHILD FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIMS BY
RACE
1991 - 2003**

Race	Number	Percent
Asian	28	0.2%
Black	5,235	32.1%
Native American	15	0.1%
White	11,010	67.6%
Total	16,288	100.0%

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. Victims for whom race is unknown or missing are excluded.

Source: SLED, SCIBRS.

**Child Forcible Fondling Victims by Race
1991 - 2003**




The forcible fondling victimization rate for White children was 35% higher than the rate for Non-White children.

**CHILD FORCIBLE FONDLING RATE BY
RACIAL CATEGORY
1991 - 2003**

Racial Category	Number	Rate
Non-White	5,278	10.3
White	11,010	13.9
Total	16,288	12.6

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger. The racial category, Non-White consists of Asian, Black and Native American. This comprehensive category is used because population estimates needed to calculate victimization rates for all races is not readily available. Excludes victims for whom race is missing or unknown. Total rate is based on total victims.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Child Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate
by Racial Category
1991 - 2003**




The forcible fondling victimization rate for girls was 5.3 times the rate for boys.

**CHILD FORCIBLE FONDLING VICTIMS BY
SEX
1991 - 2003**

Sex	Number	Rate
Female	13,654	21.8
Male	2,666	4.1
Total	16,320	12.6

Notes: Unit of count – victim. Includes victims age 17 and younger.
Sources: SLED, SCIBRS; ORS, population estimates.

**Child Forcible Fondling Victimization Rate by Sex
1991 - 2003**



Summary

The overall rate of sexual violence increased 1.9% from 1991 to 2003. However, at the same time, the sexual violence rate against children increased 23.5% and the forcible fondling rate of children increased 48.9%. In considering these trends it is important to consider how accurate the data are as indicators of sexual violence. The number of direct clients served by crisis centers exceeded the number of sexual violence victims reported to SCIBRS by 42.3% in 2003. This difference could reflect a number of factors, including the willingness of victims to report sexual violence, differences in the operational definitions of sexual violence as well as the means by which the data are collected. Although no one can say with any certainty what South Carolina's reporting rate is, the quality and utility of the information collected from SCIBRS data is very high.

An important example of the utility of this information is found in the analysis of victim to offender relationships. The relationship between sexual violence victims and offenders has important implications for policy and prevention training. While concerns about and warnings against "stranger danger" are certainly appropriate, a much greater degree of danger comes from friends, acquaintances and family members. Along the same lines, while the dictates of common sense concerning caution in unfamiliar or other potentially dangerous places always hold true, danger is far more likely to be lurking in the home (though not necessarily the victim's) than around the corner in a dark alleyway.

The importance of age, specifically youth, as a factor in sexual violence is difficult to overstate. The victimization rate for children was much higher than for adults, just as the victimization rate for females was much higher than for males. Sexual violence is often described as a form of violence against women and indeed it is. However, it is even more accurate to describe sexual violence as violence against children, and perhaps more accurate still to describe it as violence against girls.

The impact of other demographic factors was noteworthy. Women and girls were more likely to be victims of sexual violence than men and boys, males accounting for only 12% of reported victims. Non-Whites were more likely to be victimized than Whites. The high percentages of victims and offenders of unknown ethnicity (Hispanic/Non-Hispanic) may be an indicator of problems in properly identifying and coding the ethnicity of victims and offenders.

There were also important patterns concerning the time of sexual victimization. For adult victims, victimization increased from the evening through early morning hours. For children, there were peak times: eight o'clock in the morning, noon, three o'clock in the afternoon and midnight. These peaks in victimization might be related to the daily activities of school and pre-school age children. The result of additional, in-depth analysis of SCIBRS data for time patterns, as well as analysis of other data sources and case studies could have important implications for preventing victimization as well as for training child care takers, law enforcement and others having contact with children during those hours.

The low level of use of weapons, other than weapons such as hands, feet or fists was notable. This finding is in stark contrast to other crimes of violence such as murder or armed robbery and aggravated assault where such weapons accounted for 8%, 27% and 31% respectively of weapon use (SLED, *Crime in South Carolina 2003*). This comparatively low use of firearms and other deadly weapons echoes and perhaps provides insight into a key aspect of sexual violence, a pattern of targeting the weak and powerless; i.e., young girls.

Reported drug or alcohol use by both victims and offenders, while not widespread could be significant. Alcohol use was much more likely to be involved in sexual violence than drug use. The report that 3.2% of crisis center direct clients reported the victimization by date rape drugs in sexual assaults not only points out an area of concern but also another area for future analysis.

Justice Byron R. White may have accurately reflected society's view of sexual violence when he said "Short of homicide, rape is the ultimate violation of self" (U.S. Supreme Court, *Coker v. Georgia*). Truthful as that statement may be, Justice White left unstated the diminishing and degrading effect of sexual violence upon the victims, their families and civilized society. Only victims of sexual violence can truly understand the pain, suffering and long term effects that the victimization has had upon their lives. Any serious response to these egregious assaults must not only reflect the legitimate concerns of reparation, justice and public safety, but also incorporate an in-depth understanding based on empirical analysis.

Sources

Published:

Bureau of Justice Statistics, *Criminal Victimization 2003*.

State Law Enforcement Division, *Crime in South Carolina 2003*.

United States Supreme Court, *Coker vs. Georgia*, 433 US 584.

Unpublished:

Department of Health and Environmental Control, *SC Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Summary Report 2003*.

South Carolina Budget and Control Board, Office of Research and Statistics; population estimates.

State Law Enforcement Division, South Carolina Incident Based Reporting System data.

